

2

1 Mark S. Adams, State Bar No. 78706
2 Dennis S. Lucey, State Bar No. 219398
3 **LAW OFFICES OF MAYALL HURLEY, PC**
4 2453 Grand Canal Boulevard, Second Floor
5 Stockton, California 95207
6 Telephone: (209) 477-3833
7 Facsimile: (209) 473-4818
8 E-mail: MAAdams@Mayallaw.com
9 DLucey@Mayallaw.com

10 Attorneys for Ronald Hittle

11 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**
12 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **SACRAMENTO DIVISION**

14 **In re:**
15 **CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,**
16 **Debtor.**

Case No. 12-32118
DCN: MH-001
Chapter 9

**NOTICE OF HEARING ON MOTION
FOR RELIEF FROM THE
AUTOMATIC STAY OF 11 U.S.C. §
362(a) AS TO PENDING CIVIL
RIGHTS ACTION. [11 U.S.C. §
362(d)(1)]**

Date: November 20, 2012
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Judge: Hon. Christopher M. Klein
Location: 501 'T' Street, 6th Flr
Courtroom No. 35
Sacramento, CA

17 **TO THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS:**

18 **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that RONALD HITTLE has filed a Motion for Relief From
19 Automatic Stay Of 11 U.S.C. § 362(a) As To Pending Civil Rights Action. A hearing on said
20 Motion is scheduled to take place at the date, time and location specified above.

21 **TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that any written objection or response to the Motion

1 should be filed with the court pursuant to all applicable Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure
2 and Local Rules of Practice for the United States Bankruptcy Court, for the Eastern District of
3 California (“Local Rules”), and served upon Trustee’s counsel as follows:

4 MAYALL HURLEY, A Professional Corporation
5 Attn: Dennis S. Lucey
6 2453 Grand Canal Boulevard
7 Stockton, CA 95207

8 This Motion is brought pursuant to Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(ii) of the Local Rules of
9 Bankruptcy Procedure, which provides as follows:

10 Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be in writing and shall be
11 served and filed with the court by the responding party at least *fourteen (14) days*
12 preceding the date or continued date of the hearing. Opposition shall be
13 accompanied by evidence establishing its factual allegations. Without good cause,
14 no party shall be heard in opposition to a motion at oral argument if written
15 opposition to the motion has not been timely filed. *Failure of the responding
16 party to timely file written opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition
17 to the granting of the motion or may result in the imposition of sanctions.*

18 **TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that failure to respond to timely respond to the motion,
19 in writing, may be treated by the Court as your consent to the relief requested.**

20 Dated: October 23, 2012

LAW OFFICES OF MAYALL HURLEY, PC

21 By /s/ Mark S. Adams

22 MARK S. ADAMS
23 Attorneys For Ronald Hittle
24
25
26
27
28