### Case 12-32118 Filed 09/15/14 Doc 1701

	1		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	PETER C. MEIER (SB# 179019) petermeier@paulhastings.com PAUL HASTINGS LLP 55 Second Street Twenty-Fourth Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-3441 Telephone: 1 (415) 856-7000 Facsimile: 1 (415) 856-7100  DEBORAH COLLINS (SB# 154532) dcollins@pilpca.org CALIFORNIA AFFORDABLE HOUSING LAW PROJECT OF THE PUBLIC INTEREST LAW PROJECT	MARCELA RUIZ, ESQ. (SB# 244891) mruiz@crla.org CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC. 145 E Weber Avenue Stockton, CA 95202 Telephone: 1 (209) 946-0605 Facsimile: 1 (209) 946-5730  S. LYNN MARTINEZ, ESQ. (SB# 164406) slmartinez@wclp.org STEPHANIE HAFFNER, ESQ. (SB# 194192) shaffner@wclp.org WESTERN CENTER ON LAW & POVERTY	
8 9 10	449 15th Street, #301 Oakland, CA 94612-2038 Telephone: 1 (510) 891-9794 Facsimile: 1 (510) 891-9727	3701 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 208 Los Angeles, CA 90010 Telephone: 1 (213) 235-2617 Facsimile: 1 (213) 487-0242	
11	Attorneys for Movants and Creditors		
12	GEORGE O.J. BAKER and THE INTERFAITH COUNCIL OF SAN JOAC	QUIN	
13			
14	UNITED STATES B	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
15	EASTERN DISTRI	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
17	In re:	CASE NO. 12-32118 DC No. PH-1	
18	CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,	Chapter 9	
19	Debtor.	NOTICE OF CONTINUED HEARING ON MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM	
20		AUTOMATIC STAY	
21		Date: January 13, 2015 Time: 9:30 a.m.	
22		Judge: Hon. Christopher M. Klein Dept.: 501 I Street, 6th Floor	
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>		Dept. C; Courtroom No. 35 Sacramento, CA 95814	
25			
26			
27			
28			

NOTICE OF CONTINUED HEARING

Case No. 12-32118

2
4

## 

## 

# 

# NOTICE OF CONTINUED HEARING ON MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY

# TO THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

On November 29, 2012, George O.J. Baker and the Interfaith Council of San Joaquin filed their Notice of Motion, Motion, Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and supporting papers on behalf of the Price Judgment Creditors seeking an order granting relief from the automatic stay imposed by these bankruptcy proceedings to allow Movants to seek enforcement of a stipulated judgment entered by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California in the case of *Price, et al. v. City of Stockton, California, et al.*, Case No. CV S-02-0065 LKK KJM ("*Price* Judgment"). The hearing on the Motion was scheduled to take place January 8, 2013, at 9:30 a.m., before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein at the courthouse identified in the caption above. By agreement, the hearing was continued to April 9, 2013, then to May 21, 2013, and after that to July 16, 2013, again to September 10, 2013, once more to October 22, 2013, again to November 18, 2013, then to January 14, 2014, again to February 25, 2014, then to April 15, 2014, once more to May 27, 2014, to July 22, 2014 and then to September 30, 2014, to accommodate the parties' mediation efforts. On September 3, 2014, the Court gave notice that it had continued the hearing to October 2, 2014, at 9:30 a.m.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that George O.J. Baker and the Interfaith Council of San Joaquin, pursuant to their further agreement with the Debtor, City of Stockton, California, hereby continue the hearing on their Motion For Relief From Automatic Stay from October 2, 2014 to January 13, 2015 at 9:30 a.m., before the Honorable Christopher M. Klein at the courthouse identified in the caption above. In accordance with the parties' agreement, the continuance of the hearing on the Motion For Relief From Automatic Stay filed by George O.J. Baker and the Interfaith Council of San Joaquin on behalf of the Price Judgment Creditors is without prejudice of any kind whatsoever to any of Movants' rights in connection with their Motion.

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that any written opposition, objection, or response to the Motion should be filed with the Court pursuant to all applicable Federal Rules of

1 5

2 3 4

6 7

9 10

8

11 12

13

14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Bankruptcy Procedure and Local Rules of Practice for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of California, and served upon all appropriate parties including, but not limited to, counsel for the parties, counsel for the Price Judgment Creditors (via ECF or at the address stated in the above caption), and Trustee's counsel. The timeframes for the filing of any such written opposition, objection, or response to the Motion shall be calculated in accordance with the continued hearing date of January 13, 2015.

As demonstrated in Movants' previously-filed Memorandum Of Points And Authorities, and as summarized below, cause exists to order relief from the automatic bankruptcy stay to enforce the *Price* Judgment before Judge Karlton in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California for at least three reasons. First, the *Price* Judgment requires the construction of 340 lower income housing units to replace residences demolished as a result of redevelopment. Only 161 of the replacement units have in fact been completed to date and, under federal law, these are outstanding equitable obligations – not monetary debts – and are accordingly not dischargeable in a bankruptcy proceeding. Second, the *Price* Judgment required the creation of a \$1.45 million fund to be paid to eligible claimants for relocation assistance. After five years, and well prior to the City's bankruptcy filing, the remaining balance (which is over \$1 million) was required to be set aside in a restricted fund of the Stockton Redevelopment Agency, to be used only for construction of extremely low income housing. When California's redevelopment agencies were dissolved, the City of Stockton as "successor agency" – a legal entity separate from the City itself – elected to assume the former relocation agency's obligations. The obligations in the *Price* Judgment regarding the relocation assistance fund are thus not obligations of the City at all but, rather, of the City in its capacity as the "successor agency" and are accordingly not properly included within this bankruptcy estate. Finally, any analysis of the various factors that Courts consider in evaluating whether to grant relief from stay favors the Price Judgment Creditors and their efforts to enforce the long-overdue obligations in the *Price* Judgment.

-2-

Case No. 12-32118

### Case 12-32118 Filed 09/15/14 Doc 1701

1	Pursuant to Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code, the <i>Price</i> Judgment Creditors			
2	respectfully request that the Court enter an order granting relief from the automatic bankruptcy			
3	stay to permit judicial enforcement of the Price Judgment.			
4				
5	DATED: September 15, 2014	PAUL HASTINGS LLP		
6		PUBLIC INTEREST LAW PROJECT CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE WESTERN CENTER ON LAW AND POVERTY		
7		WESTERN	CENTER ON LAW AND LOVERTT	
8		Rv:	/s/ Peter C. Meier	
9		<i>D</i> y	PETER C. MEIER	
10		Attorneys for	r Movants and Creditors J. BAKER and THE INTERFAITH	
11		COUNSEL (	OF SAN JOAQUIN	
12	LEGAL_US_W # 79833028.1			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul>				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		-3-		
	Casa Na. 12 22110	-	MOTICE OF CONTINUED HEADING	